## THE RESOLUTION OF THE CLIFFORD ALGEBRA (DIRAC ALGEBRA) WITH ANY NUMBER OF SYMBOLS AS THE DIRECT SUM OF MINIMAL LEFT IDEALS

BY

K. N. SRINIVASA RAO AND C. K. VENKATANARASIMHIAH

Separated from "The Proceedings of the incide Acodemy of the Proceedings of the Incide Acodemy of the Proceedings of the Incide Acodemy of the Incide Acod

# (DIEAC ALGEBRA) WITH ANY NUMBER OF SYMBOLS AS THE DIEACT SUM OF MINIMAL LEFT IDEALS.

NEW N. SHIDINGS RAO AND C. R. VANSASSINAMINE

### MOTTHROMATION

he this note the Chilord-Done Alecha constitted by asympole of the stations of the relations

The man (hesterne statement) with an parent man

the season of the same of manager of the state of the same of the

. a. We wish to done the A. Vestor challenger interpretation the public

go In its mell known that the head changing of the filliand Algebra

by the a pression of the state of the state and the state of the state

We deduce easily.

1 de al le a suo con diene solumente cent e anne (1)

I want to an other than communities I

11 (2) e.e., . (e.f. patagainantes vieta the ope a 5-27

ALS STATEMENT OF THE STATE OF T

### THE RESOLUTION OF THE CLIFFORD ALGEBRA (DIRAC ALGEBRA) WITH ANY NUMBER OF SYMBOLS AS THE DIRECT SUM OF MINIMAL LEFT IDEALS

By K. N. Srinivasa Rao and C. K. Venkatanarasimhiah

Received February 10, 1950 (Communicated by Prof. B. S. Madhava Rao, F.A.sc.)

### INTRODUCTION

In this note the Clifford-Dirac Algebra generated by *n*-symbols  $e_1, e_2, \ldots e_n$  satisfying the relations

$$e_r e_s + e_s e_r = 2\delta_{rs}$$
 (Kronecker symbol)

over a ground field whose characteristic  $\neq 2$  and which contains  $\sqrt{-1}$  is resolved into the sum of minimal left ideals. These ideals as well as their bases have been chosen in a suitable manner and the corresponding representation is seen to be identical with the well-known one given by Weyl and Brauer.<sup>1</sup>

We wish to thank Dr. K. Venkatachaliengar for suggesting the problem and helpful guidance, and Prof. B. S. Madhava Rao for kind encouragement.

- §1. It is well known<sup>+</sup> that the basis elements of the Clifford Algebra with the n symbols  $e_1, e_2, \ldots e_n$  satisfying the relation
- I.  $e_r e_s + e_s e_r = 2\delta_{rs}$  ( $\delta_{rs}$  Kronecker symbol) are all expressed succinctly by the expression  $e_1^{\lambda_1}$ ,  $e_2^{\lambda_2}$ ... $e_n^{\lambda_n}$  where the  $\lambda'^s$  are integres mod 2. Evidently there are  $2^n$  basis elements.

We deduce easily,

II. (1) 
$$e_1e_2...e_{2r+1}$$
 commutes with the  $e_p$ ;  $p \le 2r+1$ 

and

anticommutes with the  $e_p$ ; p > 2r + 1.

II. (2)  $e_1e_2...e_{2r}$  anticommutes with the  $e_p$ ;  $p \le 2r$  and

commutes with the  $e_p$ ; p > 2r.

II. (3) 
$$(e_1e_2...e_{2r})^2 = (-1)^r$$

II. (4) 
$$(e_1e_2...e_{2r+1})^2 = (-1)^r$$

It follows also that the algebra  $C_{2n+1}$  (generated by an odd number of symbols) can be resolved into a direct sum of two simple algebras each of which is isomorphic with a  $C_{2n}$ : *i.e.*,

$$C_{2n+1} = C_{2n+1}\omega + C_{2n+1}(1-\omega)$$
 where  $\omega = \frac{1 + e_1e_2....e_{2n+1}}{2}$  if  $n$  is even or  $\omega = \frac{1 + ie_1e_2....e_{2n+1}}{2}$  if  $n$  is odd.

§2. We now take up the complete resolution of a  $C_{2n}$  as a direct sum of minimal, mutually orthogonal left ideals. For this purpose we make use of a result due to Witt, viz, that a Clifford Algebra with 2n symbols is the direct product of n such algebras with 2 symbols. Witt has shown that

$$C_{2n} = (1, e_1, e_2) \times (1, ie_1e_2e_3, ie_1e_2e_4) \times (\dots) \times ($$

where each of the brackets represents an algebra generated by the symbols contained in it. Therefore, the idempotents generating minimal left ideals in  $C_{2n}$  are given by

$$\omega_r = \frac{(1 \pm e_1)}{2} \cdot \frac{(1 \pm i e_1 e_2 e_3)}{2}, \ldots \frac{(1 \pm i^{k+1} e_1 e_2 \ldots e_{2k-1})}{2} \ldots n$$

factors. Corresponding to the two signs  $\pm$  in each of the brackets there are evidently  $2^n$  such  $\omega_r$ 's. We now show that

$$\omega_r^2 = \omega_r$$
 and  $\omega_r \omega_s = 0$  if  $r \neq s$ .

Now

$$\omega_{r^{2}} = \left\{ \frac{(1 \pm e_{1})}{2} \dots \frac{(1 \pm i e_{1} e_{2} \dots e_{2n-1})}{2} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \frac{(1 \pm e_{1})}{2} \dots \frac{(1 \pm i e_{1} e_{2} \dots e_{2n-1})}{2} \right\} n \text{ even}$$

$$= \left( \frac{1 \pm e_{1}}{2} \right)^{2} \dots \left( \frac{1 \pm i e_{1} e_{2} \dots e_{2n-1}}{2} \right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{(1 \pm e_{1})}{2} \dots \frac{(1 \pm i e_{1} e_{2} \dots e_{2n-1})}{2} = \omega_{r}$$

To show that  $\omega_r \omega_s = 0$  ( $\gamma \neq s$ ) we first observe that in the expressions for  $\omega_r$  and  $\omega_s$ , there is at least one bracket which appears with a change of sign in it. Calling this the pth bracket, if it is  $\frac{(1+i\,e_1e_2\ldots e_{2p-1})}{2}$  in  $\omega_r$ , it will be  $\frac{1-i\,e_1e_2\ldots e_{2p-1}}{2}$  in  $\omega_s$ . Since the factors in the brackets commute with one another, we can bring the pth brackets together in  $\omega_r\omega_s$ . But

$$\frac{(1+i\,e_1e_2\ldots e_{2p-1})}{2} \quad \frac{(1-i\,e_1e_2\ldots e_{2p-1})}{2} = 0$$

Hence

$$\omega_r \omega_s = 0$$
 if  $r \neq s$ .

We next prove that  $\sum_{r=1}^{r=2^n} \omega_r = 1$ .

*Proof.*—Let the result be true for n = m

*i.e.*, 
$$\sum_{r=1}^{r=2^m} \omega_r = \sum_{1}^{2^m} \frac{(1 \pm e_1)}{2} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{(1 \pm i e_1 e_2 \cdot \dots \cdot e_{2m-1})}{2} = 1$$
 for  $C_{2m}$ 

Hence for  $C_{2m+2}$ ,

$$\frac{\sum_{1}^{2m+1} \omega_{r}}{\sum_{1}^{2m+1} \frac{(1 \pm e_{1})}{2} \cdots \frac{(1 \pm i e_{1} \dots e_{2m-1})}{2} \frac{(1 \pm e_{1} e_{2} \dots e_{2m+1})}{2}}{2}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{1}^{2m} \frac{(1 \pm e_{1})}{2} \dots \frac{(1 \pm i e_{1} \dots e_{2m-1})}{2}\right) \frac{(1 + e_{1} e_{2} \dots e_{2m+1})}{2}$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{1}^{2m} \frac{(1 \pm e_{1})}{2} \dots \frac{(1 \pm i e_{1} \dots e_{2m-1})}{2}\right) \frac{(1 - e_{1} e_{2} \dots e_{2m+1})}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1 + e_{1} e_{2} \dots e_{2m+1}}{2} + \frac{1 - e_{1} e_{2} \dots e_{2m+1}}{2} = 1$$

i.e., the result is true for n = m + 1. But for n = 1,

$$\Sigma \omega_r = \Sigma \frac{1 \pm e_1}{2} = 1$$
 and hence it is true for all  $n$ .

We now proceed to deduce the irreducible representation of the algebra  $C_{2n}$  by choosing a suitable basis of the minimal left ideal L: generated by

$$\omega = \frac{(1+e_1)}{2} \frac{(1+ie_1e_2e_3)}{2} \dots \frac{(1+ie_1e_2\dots e_{2k-1})}{2} \dots \frac{(1+ie_1e_2\dots e_{2n-1})}{2}$$

we first of all show that

$$e_{2k+1} \omega = (-1)^k i e_{2k} \omega \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

*Proof.*—(i) Let k be even: From II. 1.

$$e_{2k} \omega = e_{2k} \left(\frac{1+e_1}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{1+i e_1 e_2 \dots e_{2k-1}}{2}\right) \dots \frac{(1+i e_1 e_2 \dots e_{2k-1})}{2}$$

$$= \frac{(1-e_1)}{2} \dots \left(\frac{1-i e_1 e_2 \dots e_{2k-1}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{e_{2k}-e_1 \dots e_{2k-1} e_{2k+1}}{2}\right) \dots \frac{(1+i e_1 \dots e_{2k-1})}{2}$$

$$e_{2k+1} \omega = \left(\frac{1-e_1}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{1-i e_1 \dots e_{2k-1}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{e_{2k+1}+e_1 \dots e_{2k}}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{1+i e_1 \dots e_{2k-1}}{2}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1-e_1}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{1-i e_1 \dots e_{2k-1}}{2}\right) [e_1 \dots e_{2k-1}] \times \frac{\left(\frac{e_{2k}-e_1 \dots e_{2k-1}}{2}e_{2k+1}\right)}{2} \dots \frac{\left(1+i e_1 \dots e_{2k-1}\right)}{2} \text{ using II. 4.}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1-e_1}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{e_1 \dots e_{2k-1}+i}{2}\right) \left(\frac{e_{2k}-e_1 \dots e_{2k-1}e_{2k+1}}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{1+i e_1 \dots e_{2k-1}e_{2k-1}}{2}\right)$$

$$= i e_{2k} \omega. \tag{a}$$

(ii) Let k be odd.

$$e_{2k} \omega = \left(\frac{1-e_1}{2}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1-e_1 \cdots e_{2k-1}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{e_{2k}-i e_1 \cdots e_{2k-1} e_{2k+1}}{2}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1+i e_1 \cdots e_{2k-1}}{2}\right)$$

$$e_{2k+1} \omega = \left(\frac{1-e_1}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{1-e_1 \dots e_{2k-1}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{e_{2k+1}+i e_1 \dots e_{2k}}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{1+i e_1 \dots e_{2n-1}}{2}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1-e_1}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{1-e_1 \dots e_{2k-1}}{2}\right) [i e_1 \dots e_{2k-1}] \times \left(\frac{e_{2k}-i e_1 \dots e_{2k-1}}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{1+i e_1 \dots e_{2n-1}}{2}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1-e_1}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{i e_1 \dots e_{2k-1}-i}{2}\right) \left(\frac{e_{2k}-i e_1 \dots e_{2k-1}}{2}e_{2k+1}\right)$$

$$= -i e_{2k} \omega. \tag{b}$$

Combining (a) and (b) we get

$$\underbrace{e_{2k+1}\,\omega=(-1)^k\,i\,e_{2k}\,\omega}.$$

We thus see that all the symbols with odd suffixes can be expressed in terms of those with even suffixes only and that  $e_1\omega=\omega$ . We therefore take, as the basis elements of the minimal left ideal generated by  $\omega$  the  $2^n$  terms occurring in

$$e_{2n}^{\lambda_n} e_{2n-2}^{\lambda_{n-1}} \dots e_2^{\lambda_1} \omega = \alpha_r \omega$$
 where the  $\lambda$ 's are integers mod.2.

The e's are written down, as above, with the suffixes, always in the descending order and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$  take the values 0, 1 in the dictionary order. Each  $\alpha_r$  represents a particular combination of the e's and r takes  $2^n$  values. We add a direct proof that the  $\alpha_r$   $\omega$  are linearly independent.

*Proof.*—We first show that if  $\alpha$  represents some combination of the e's,  $\alpha\omega_r = \omega_s \alpha$  where  $\omega_r$  and  $\omega_s$  are two different mutually orthogonal idempotents. Now an  $\alpha$  is of the form

$$\alpha = e_{2l} e_{2m} \dots e_{2s} e_{2t}$$
, where  $n \ge l > m \dots > s > t \ge 1$ 

Hence  $a\omega_r = e_{2l} e_{2m} \dots e_{2s} e_{2t} \omega_r$ .

From II. 1, when  $e_{2t}$  is taken to the right of  $\omega_r$ , one can see easily that there will be a change of sign in the first t brackets only. If now  $e_{2s}$  is brought

to the right of  $\omega_r$ , the signs will be restored in the first t brackets, but a change of sign occurs in the next s-t brackets and the last n-s brackets remain unaltered. We thus observe that when all the e's are taken to the right of  $\omega_r$ , it would have changed over to a different orthogonal idempotent  $\omega_s$ ,

i.e., 
$$a\omega_r = \omega_s a \ (r \neq s)$$

Let now 
$$\sum_{r=1}^{r=2^n} a(\alpha_r \omega) = 0$$
, i.e.,

$$a_1\alpha_1\omega + a_2\alpha_2\omega + \ldots + a_r\alpha_r\omega + \ldots + a_2\alpha_2\omega = 0$$

i.e., 
$$a_1\omega_{s_1}a_1 + a_2\omega_{s_2}a_2 + \ldots + a_s\omega_{s_r}a_r + \ldots + a_{s_n}a_{s_2}\omega = 0$$

Multiply by  $\omega_{sr}$   $(r = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n)$  on the left. We obtain

$$a_r \omega_{sr}^2 \alpha = a_r \omega_{sr} a_r = 0$$

i.e., 
$$a_r = 0$$
,  $(r = 1, 2, ..., 2^n)$  i.e., the  $a_r \omega$  are linearly independent.

Choosing these as the basis elements of the left ideal L: generated by  $\omega$ , we can obtain the matrices of the representation in terms of the Pauli matrices

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, P_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

The matrices are easily seen to be

$$e_{1} \rightarrow P_{1} \times P_{1} \times P_{1} \times \dots \times P_{1} \times P_{1} \times P_{1}$$
  $n \text{ terms}$ 

$$e_{2k} \rightarrow P_{1} \times P_{1} \times \dots \times P_{2} \times P \times E \times E \times \dots \times E$$
 ,,
$$e_{2k+1} \rightarrow (-1)^{k} P_{1} \times P_{1} \times \dots \times P_{1} \times P_{3} \times E \times \dots \times E_{1}$$
 ,,

where  $P_2$  and  $P_3$  occur in the kth place from the right end in the corresponding expressions.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Brauer and Weyl .. Journal of the American Math. Soc., 1935, 57, 425.
- 2. Witt .. Jour. für. Math., 1937, 176, 31.



